



Forest Carbon Partnership Facility

5c. Draft Logical Framework

Eleventh Meeting of the Participants Committee (PC11)

Asunción, Paraguay

March 28-30, 2012

Outline

- Background
- Salient points: FMT Note 2012-4
- Questions for PC consideration

Background to Logical Framework

- Draft Logical Framework included and presented in FMT Note 2011-10 rev. in Berlin
 - Evolved from FMT's brainstorming on what the strategic thrust of FCPF for the next few years could potentially be, keeping in mind the recommendations from the FCPF evaluation
 - Formed the basis of the proposals presented in Berlin in the FMT Note on the Strategic Direction of the FCPF
 - Akin to a short to medium term planning tool to guide and monitor FCPF activities
 - PC comments were solicited on the January 29, 2012 draft, regarding the following:
 - Whether the log frame captures the strategic thrust of the FCPF for the next three-four years?
 - How outcome indicators could be sharpened, made more relevant, actionable and measurable?
 - Additional outputs/key deliverables that would help FCPF achieve the desired outcomes?

Recap: Structure of Draft Logical Framework

- Overall Objective
 - Reducing emissions from deforestation and forest degradation
- Program Outcomes
 - The key objectives of the FCPF as stated in the FCPF Charter
- Outcome indicators
 - Qualitative and quantitative measures to assess whether the program outcomes are on track to being achieved
- Outputs and Party(ies) responsible
 - Interim steps/key deliverables necessary to achieve the performance targets envisaged by the indicators and the key actors responsible for deliverables

Salient Points: FMT Note 2012-4

- Comments received on draft logical framework and FMT responses
 - Response received from 4 PC members (Canada, Germany, Norway and Denmark);
 - Very good comments and suggestions received on each of the specific questions;
 - Confusion over terminology used;
 - Recognize that some outcome indicators are worded as targets/objectives to be achieved rather than as indicators for monitoring progress relative to a baseline;
 - FMT has incorporated some suggestions:
 - Added definitions for outcome and outputs based on the rationale that was used at the time of drafting these outcome indicators and outputs
 - Modified some indicators and outputs

Salient Points: FMT Note 2012-4

- Summary of views expressed in comments
 - Confirmation that log frame captures strategic thrust of the FCPF for the next 3 to 4 years, & could possibly be used to report progress. Specific suggestions for improving/clarifying the indicators/outputs;
 - Context and relevance of FCPF objectives has changed since the time the Charter was drafted. Hence use of FCPF objectives as the basis for the log frame is not clear;
 - Log frame does not go far enough in capturing the effects and impacts of the FCPF, but primarily serves the purpose of tracking key interim activities and deliverables;
 - Unanimous on need for a comprehensive M & E framework and/or clarifying the link between the log frame and an M& E framework.

Questions for PC consideration at PC11

- Develop an M & E Framework only?
 - The FMT proceed with development of an M&E framework only, that could incorporate elements from the current draft log frame and the draft M & E framework that formed the basis of the first evaluation
- Develop both a log frame and a separate M & E Framework?
 - Current draft of the log frame be revised based on comments received and finalized for possible adoption by PC
- Is there a need for second evaluation of the FCPF, and if so when?



THANK YOU!

www.forestcarbonpartnership.org

FCPF Objectives (Charter)

- ❖ To assist Eligible REDD Countries in their efforts to achieve Emission Reductions from deforestation and/or forest degradation by providing them with financial and technical assistance in building their capacity to benefit from possible future systems of positive incentives for REDD;
- ❖ To pilot a performance-based payment system for Emission Reductions generated from REDD activities, with a view to ensuring equitable benefit sharing and promoting future large scale positive incentives for REDD;
- ❖ Within the approach to REDD, to test ways to sustain or enhance livelihoods of local communities and to conserve biodiversity; and
- ❖ To disseminate broadly the knowledge gained in the development of the Facility and implementation of Readiness Preparation Proposals and Emission Reductions Programs.

